

BellSouth Suite 900 1133-21st Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-3351

robert.blau@bellsouth.com

Rebert T. Blau, Ph.D., CFA Vice President-Executive and Federal Regulatory Affairs

202 463-4108 Fax 202 463-4631

February 5, 2003

EX PARTE

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: CC Docket Nos. 01-338 and 02-33

Dear Ms. Salas:

On February 4, 2003, Herschel Abbott, Fred McCallum, Keith Milner, Jonathan Banks and I, met with Chris Libertelli, Legal Advisor to Chairman Michael Powell, to discuss the Triennial Review. The attached documents formed the basis for the presentation.

I am filing this notice in the dockets identified above, as required by Section 1.1206(b)(2) of the Commission's rules, and request that you associate this notice with the record of those proceedings.

Sincerely,

Attachment -

cc: Chris Libertelli

Robert Tillayade


Discussion of Proposed Tests for Conversion of Special Access Circuits to Unbundled Network Elements


BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

February 3 - 4, 2004

Examples of Economic Impact from Conversion from Special Access to UNE Rates

DS1 - Typical Circuits





Typical Small CLEC Network **Services Rendered:** Mass Market: **Long Distance** Small and Medium Small Bus. And **Internet Access** Bus. End Users Res. Customers Large Bus. Large Business: End User DS₁ DS1 **WATS/800** LC(s) LC(s) **Private Line** VG LCs DS3 LC ATM/Frame Relay **Internet Access** DS1 IOC(s) В \mathbf{C} D DS3 IOCs **DS1 IOC** Collocated CLEC POP Arrangement Legend: Collocation Arrangement **SWC** C.O. SWC **CLEC-Owned** DS3 LCs OC-n IXC POP POP X Multiplexer POP X

Contemplated Restrictions Offer No Relief

Contemplated Tests:

CLEC must have collocation in the LATA

CLEC must have interconnection trunks connecting to ILEC public switched network in LATA


CLEC must be certified by state Public Service Commission as a local exchange carrier

Bottom Line:

These Tests are too easily attained or could easily be "gamed".


Carriers <u>Already Have</u> Collocation and Interconnection Trunking And Can Easily and Quickly Become Certificated


LATA	STATE	COLLOCATORS WITH FIBER ENTRANCE FACILITIES
Atlanta	GA	157
Southeast	FL	295
Nashville	TN	36
Charlotte	NC	. 69
Jacksonville	MS	53
Orlando	FL	68
Raleigh	NC	39
New Orleans	LA	30
Memphis	TN	30
Louisville	KY	17
Birmingham	AL	12
Greensboro	NC	28
Greenville	SC	13
Knoxville	TN	15
Columbia	SC	14
Jackson	MS	21
Baton Rouge	LA	16
Charleston	SC	6
Chattanooga	TN	14
Mobile	AL	7
	TOTAL	940




Typical Small CLEC Network

Establish or Use Collocation to Meet Test





Typical Small Business End User With Two Voice Lines and Internet Access via Bandwidth Allocation



Conclusions

Adoption of Proposed Collocation and Interconnection Trunking Tests:

- ▶ Gives no weight to lack of impairment as ordered by Supreme and Appeals Court.
- ➤Offers little if any protection from Special Access to UNE conversion "gaming".
- Does not advance goals of increased competition.
- ➤ Merely shifts revenue from ILECs, CAPs and CLECs to IXCs.
- Diminishes shareholder value of existing Special Access provides.
- Discourages facilities-based build out and reduces the value of existing ILEC, CLEC and CAP networks.
- Discourages sorely needed investment in telecom equipment market
- Eliminates internal cross-subsidies which support lower residential rates.